

Human- Nature Coexistence in Kalidasa's Abhijnanashakuntalam: An Ecocritical Interpretation

Aishwarya Singh

Department of English, Research Scholar: Maharaja Agrasen Himalayan Garhwal University, Pauri Garhwal Uttarakhand, India

Email id: aishwaryasingh2101@gmail.com

Orcid id: 0009-0000-2221-9714



Received 10 August 2026

Accepted 15 August 2026

Published 22 August 2026

Corresponding Author:

Aishwarya Singh

Email: aishwaryasingh2101@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64880/tvsjir.v1i3.08>

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sector.

Copyright: © 2026 The Author(s).



This is an open access article under the [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

OPEN ACCESS

Abstract:

This study explores the representation of human-nature relationships in Kalidasa's Abhijnanashakuntalam through an ecocritical lens. While the play has been widely discussed for its poetic artistry, romantic themes, and dramatic structure, its ecological vision has received comparatively limited attention within contemporary ecocritical scholarship. This gap becomes particularly significant because Kalidasa presents nature not as a passive backdrop but as an active presence that shapes ethical values, emotional experience, and social conduct. It also explores how the forest hermitage nurtures a mode of living grounded in coexistence, reciprocity, and environmental responsibility.

This research is based on a qualitative approach, text-based, and interpretive methodology informed by ecocriticism, environmental humanities, and cultural ecology. Through close reading of the play, it analyses representations of forests, animals, rivers, seasonal cycles, and ascetic life to investigate how ecological values are embedded within the dramatic narrative. Particular attention is given to moments that reveal interdependence between human and non-human life. The analysis also draws upon ecological concepts such as interconnectedness, environmental ethics, and critiques of anthropocentrism to interpret the play's broader ecological imagination. The play presents human and natural life as closely intertwined. The forest hermitage emerges as an ecological community sustained through care, restraint, and mutual dependence. Rather than privileging human interests alone, the play recognizes animals, plants, and natural processes as meaningful participants in a shared world. The analysis highlights the continuing relevance of the play's ecological vision. By foregrounding interdependence, responsibility, and respect for non-human life, the play offers an alternative to human-centred approaches to nature. The ecological insights embedded in the play continue to offer meaningful ways of thinking about coexistence, environmental responsibility, and sustainable living.

Keywords: Ecocriticism; Human-Nature Relationship; Kalidasa; Abhijnanashakuntalam; Environmental Ethics; Ecological Interconnectedness; Forest Hermitage; Environmental Humanities.

How to Cite this article: Singh, Aishwarya.(2026) Human- Nature Coexistence in Kalidasa's Abhijnanashakuntalam: An Ecocritical Interpretation. *The Visionary Spectrum: Global Journal of Research and Innovation* 1(3), Page No. 58-66.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64880/tvsjir.v1i3.08>. Double Blind Peer Review.

Introduction

In recent years, growing environmental concerns have encouraged scholars to rethink the ways literature represents the relationship between human beings and the natural world. Issues such as climate change, ecological degradation, biodiversity loss, and unsustainable patterns of development have drawn attention to the cultural and ethical dimensions of environmental crises. As a result, the field of environmental humanities has emerged as an important space for examining how literary and cultural texts shape ecological awareness and environmental values (Heise 3). Within this broader intellectual context, ecocriticism has become a significant critical approach that explores the connections between literature, culture, and the environment (Glotfelty and Fromm xviii).

Although ecocritical scholarship has expanded considerably, much of its attention has remained focused on modern and contemporary texts. Classical literary traditions, particularly those outside the Western canon, have received comparatively less attention from ecological perspectives. Sanskrit literature, however, offers rich possibilities for such inquiry. Its narratives frequently portray forests, rivers, animals, and seasonal rhythms not merely as elements of setting but as meaningful participants in human experience.

Among the most celebrated works of classical Sanskrit literature, *Abhijnanashakuntalam* occupies a distinctive place. Written by Kalidasa, the play has long been admired for its poetic elegance, emotional depth, and dramatic artistry (Keith 214). Much of the existing scholarship has concentrated on its aesthetic qualities, romantic narrative, and *rasa*-based interpretations. While these approaches have contributed significantly to the understanding of the text, they often leave its ecological dimensions in the background.

A closer engagement with the play reveals a different possibility. Nature in *Abhijnanashakuntalam* is not simply a scenic backdrop against which human events unfold. The forest hermitage, animals, trees, rivers, and changing seasons actively shape the experiences, relationships, and ethical choices of the characters. Human life unfolds in constant interaction with the surrounding environment. This interconnectedness suggests a vision in which the well-being of people and the well-being of nature are closely linked.

The present study approaches *Abhijnanashakuntalam* through an ecocritical lens to explore how the play represents human–nature relationships. Particular attention is given to the forest hermitage as an ecological space sustained through coexistence, care, and mutual responsibility. By examining the interactions between human and non-human life, the study seeks to uncover the environmental consciousness embedded within the text. In doing so, it highlights the continuing relevance of Kalidasa’s ecological imagination for contemporary discussions of environmental ethics and sustainable living.

Objectives of the Study

This study explores the relationship between human life and the natural world in Kalidasa’s *Abhijnanashakuntalam*.

Particular attention is given to the forest hermitage as a space shaped by coexistence, care, and environmental responsibility.

The research investigates how animals, plants, rivers, and seasonal cycles contribute to the play's ecological vision.

Another objective is to understand how nature influences human values and relationships within the narrative.

Through an ecocritical perspective, the study uncovers the environmental consciousness embedded in the text.

The relevance of these ecological insights to contemporary environmental discussions is also considered.

Literature Review

Critical engagement with Kalidasa's *Abhijnanashakuntalam* has a long history. Much of this scholarship has focused on the play's poetic refinement, dramatic craftsmanship, emotional depth, and its treatment of love and separation. Arthur Berriedale Keith regards the play as one of the finest achievements of Sanskrit drama and emphasizes its artistic balance and aesthetic appeal (214). Such readings have contributed significantly to understanding the text, yet they often place greater emphasis on literary beauty than on its environmental implications.

The emergence of ecocriticism opened new possibilities for reading literary texts beyond exclusively human concerns. In Glotfelty's formulation, ecocriticism directs attention to the dynamic relationship between literature and the physical environment. It invites scholars to explore the ways texts represent ecological realities and negotiate human connections with the more-than-human world (xviii). Lawrence Buell further argues that environmentally oriented literature frequently presents the non-human world as an active presence rather than a passive setting (7). These theoretical developments have expanded ecological literary studies, but their application to classical Sanskrit drama remains relatively limited.

Recent ecocritical scholarship has increasingly moved beyond conventional nature writing to explore questions of interconnectedness, environmental ethics, and material agency. Iovino and Oppermann emphasize that human and non-human worlds exist within networks of mutual influence rather than separate domains (2). Their work has encouraged scholars to reconsider literary representations of ecological relationships across diverse cultural traditions. Yet Sanskrit literary texts continue to occupy a marginal position within many global ecocritical discussions.

Environmental humanities scholars have similarly highlighted the importance of cultural narratives in shaping ecological understanding. Heise argues that environmental questions are inseparable from cultural imagination and ethical responsibility (56). Such perspectives create productive avenues for examining premodern texts that envision relationships between human communities and the natural world. However, studies of *Abhijnanashakuntalam* have only occasionally explored these dimensions in a sustained manner.

Another important contribution comes from Val Plumwood, whose critique of anthropocentrism challenges hierarchical divisions between humans and nature (41). Her work encourages readings

that foreground interdependence and ecological reciprocity. Such ideas find a meaningful expression in Kalidasa's forest hermitage. The lives of its inhabitants are closely connected with animals, plants, and seasonal transformations, creating an environment where human existence is shaped through constant engagement with the natural world rather than separation from it.

Although a growing body of ecocritical theory offers valuable conceptual tools, focused studies examining human–nature relationships in *Abhijnanashakuntalam* remain relatively scarce. Existing discussions often acknowledge the beauty of Kalidasa's natural descriptions but stop short of investigating their broader ecological significance. This study addresses that limitation by examining how the play constructs a relational vision of existence in which human well-being is inseparable from the well-being of the natural world.

Research Gap

Despite sustained scholarly interest in *Abhijnanashakuntalam*, research has largely remained centered on aesthetic theory, dramaturgy, symbolism, and the play's romantic narrative. Classical studies have successfully illuminated its artistic qualities, yet the ecological implications of its forest world have received comparatively limited attention (Keith 214).

Developments in ecocriticism have transformed the study of literature and environment over the past three decades. Scholars such as Glotfelty and Fromm have emphasized literature's role in shaping environmental consciousness (xviii), while Buell has drawn attention to the active presence of the non-human world in literary representation (7). More recently, Iovino and Oppermann have highlighted the complex material relationships that connect human and ecological systems (2). These perspectives have significantly expanded environmental literary studies, but they have rarely been applied in depth to Kalidasa's dramatic works.

Environmental humanities scholarship has also encouraged researchers to investigate how cultural narratives influence ecological thought. Heise demonstrates that environmental understanding is deeply connected to questions of culture, ethics, and imagination (56). Nevertheless, classical Sanskrit texts remain underrepresented within these broader theoretical conversations, creating a noticeable gap between global ecocritical discourse and Indian literary traditions.

A further limitation lies in the tendency to treat nature in *Abhijnanashakuntalam* primarily as a source of imagery, symbolism, or aesthetic pleasure. Such approaches often overlook the ecological relationships embedded within the forest hermitage itself. The interactions among ascetics, animals, plants, rivers, and seasonal cycles suggest a model of coexistence that extends beyond decorative nature description.

Consequently, there remains a need for a focused ecocritical study that examines the play as a literary expression of environmental relationality. By bringing contemporary ecological theory into dialogue with Kalidasa's dramatic imagination, the present research seeks to address this gap and offer a deeper understanding of human–nature interdependence in *Abhijnanashakuntalam*.

Methodology

This study uses a qualitative, interpretive, and text-focused approach within ecocriticism, environmental humanities, and literary interpretation. It looks at *Abhijnanashakuntalam* not just

as a classical Sanskrit drama, but as a literary work that expresses a unique ecological perspective. This study explores how Abhijnanashakuntalam presents human-nature connections as a form of environmental awareness.

The analysis relies mainly on close reading of the text. It pays special attention to the portrayal of the forest hermitage, interactions between humans and nature, animal life, seasonal imagery, rivers, trees, and ascetic practices. Instead of viewing these elements as mere decoration, the study looks at their role in shaping the text's ecological vision. This interpretive approach uncovers meanings that go beyond mere aesthetic appreciation, revealing wider environmental values within the dramatic narrative.

The research draws on key ideas from ecocritical scholarship. Cheryll Glotfelty defines ecocriticism as the study of the relationship between literature and the physical environment, which provides a solid foundation for the analysis (Glotfelty and Fromm xviii). Lawrence Buell's focus on environmental representation and ethical engagement with the non-human world further informs the reading of the text (Buell 7). Greg Garrard's views on environmental imagination and cultural representation provide extra interpretive tools (Garrard 5). The study also considers Val Plumwood's critique of human-centered thinking, which highlights the play's focus on ecological interconnectedness and respect for non-human life (Plumwood 41).

Along with these perspectives, the research incorporates ideas from Indian ecological thought. Vandana Shiva critiques harmful development models and stresses ecological balance, interdependence, and environmental responsibility. Her insights help understand the ethical aspects of the hermitage environment depicted in the play (Shiva 23). These concepts place the text within wider traditions of ecological thinking that acknowledge the connections among all forms of life.

Methodologically, the study uses ecological hermeneutics as its main interpretive framework. It examines the forest hermitage as a sacred ecological space where ethical behavior, spiritual discipline, and environmental responsibility meet. The analysis therefore emphasizes recurring principles like restraint, reciprocity, coexistence, and ecological harmony within the text.

The research is analytical rather than empirical. It develops a theoretically informed interpretation of Abhijnanashakuntalam to contribute to modern discussions in ecocriticism, environmental humanities, and Sanskrit literary studies.

Theoretical Framework

This study explores Abhijnanashakuntalam using an interdisciplinary approach that combines ecocriticism, environmental humanities, sacred ecology, non-anthropocentric thought, and Indian ecological philosophy. This approach is particularly fitting as Kalidasa's play portrays nature as an active force that influences ethical values, social relationships, and spiritual understanding. The ecological importance of the text arises from its depiction of interconnected life forms and the mutual relationship between humans and the natural world.

Ecocriticism serves as the main lens for this study. Cheryll Glotfelty defines ecocriticism as a method that looks at the relationship between literature and the physical environment (Glotfelty and Fromm xviii). This view allows for a reading of Abhijnanashakuntalam that goes beyond human-centered

views and highlights the text's ecological aspects. The forest hermitage acts as more than just a dramatic setting; it becomes a space where human behavior is influenced by environmental values and relationships.

Buell sees the non-human world as an active presence rather than just a background to human experiences (7). In Kalidasa's play, forests, animals, plants, and seasonal cycles play an active role in the moral and emotional framework of the story. The interconnectedness of ecology shapes the play's broader vision.

This framework also incorporates insights from environmental humanities. This field looks at environmental issues as cultural and ethical matters rather than only scientific ones. Scholars in environmental humanities stress the need to examine how different societies envision their relationship with the natural world.

The idea of sacred ecology adds another significant layer of analysis. Sacred ecology shows how environmental knowledge and ethical responsibility are nurtured through spiritual and cultural traditions. In *Abhijnanashakuntalam*, the hermitage represents such a worldview. Humans live alongside animals, plants, and natural forces through practices of care, restraint, and reciprocity. Nature holds value beyond its use and is seen as part of a larger moral framework. The study also references Val Plumwood's critique of anthropocentrism. Plumwood argues that ecological crises are closely tied to ideologies that distance humans from nature and support the domination of non-human life (41). The play envisions humans and nature as interconnected within a shared ecological perspective.

Lastly, Indian ecological philosophy provides a culturally rich background for interpretation. Ideas around coexistence, non-violence, moderation, and respect for life illustrate an ecological ethic that runs throughout the play. Together, these theoretical views offer a deep understanding of *Abhijnanashakuntalam* as a literary expression of sacred ecology and environmental awareness, showing the ongoing relevance of its ecological vision in current environmental discussions.

Textual Analysis and Discussion

Forest Hermitage as Sacred Ecological Space

In *Abhijnanashakuntalam*, the forest hermitage serves more than just a dramatic backdrop. Kalidasa presents it as a sacred ecological space where human life is intertwined with the rhythms of nature. The hermitage thrives on restraint, reciprocity, and care. It does not depend on control or exploitation. Its inhabitants do not aim to dominate nature; they live according to ecological cycles and ethical duties.

The sacred quality of this environment comes from the harmonious existence of ascetics, animals, trees, birds, and rivers. Humans are not at the center of existence; they are participants in a larger community of life. This perspective challenges human-centered beliefs and highlights ecological interconnectedness.

Glotfelty defines ecocriticism as the study of literature's relationship with the environment. It stresses the interconnectedness of all forms of life (Glotfelty and Fromm xviii). The hermitage illustrates this ecological principle.

Kanva plays a key role in shaping this environmental mindset. His connection with the forest is based on stewardship instead of ownership. Authority in the hermitage arises from responsibility and care, not from power. Kanva's example shows that ecological responsibility is tied to spiritual discipline. Therefore, the hermitage appears as an ethical and ecological community sustained by balance, moderation, and mutual respect.

Nature Beyond Aesthetic Ornamentation

Kalidasa's reputation as a master of nature description is well recognized. However, limiting his landscapes to mere beauty misses their deeper meaning. In *Abhijnanashakuntalam*, nature has ethical, emotional, and philosophical roles that influence the narrative's flow.

The natural world directly shapes character development. Shakuntala grows in the caring environment of the hermitage, and her identity forms through close relationships with plants, animals, and seasonal changes. Thus, her departure from the forest signifies more than just physical separation.

Buell notes that environmentally focused texts often portray the non-human world as an active participant rather than a passive backdrop (7). Kalidasa's dramatic vision reflects this idea. The forest contributes to emotional growth, moral understanding, and social connections. Nature does not just frame human actions; it influences and guides them.

Human-Nonhuman Interdependence

A key theme in *Abhijnanashakuntalam* is its focus on the interdependence of human and non-human life. This idea becomes clear when King Dushyanta enters the forest while chasing a deer. The ascetics immediately step in, reminding him that the animals of the hermitage deserve protection. This situation contrasts the culture of royal hunting with the ethic of ecological care that governs life in the forest. The deer is not seen as property or prey but as a living being deserving of moral consideration. Kalidasa extends ethical concern beyond human society and challenges exploitative attitudes toward nature.

Trees also hold an important place in the play. Shakuntala's care for saplings and flowering plants reflects an ethic of environmental responsibility rooted in love and reciprocity. These actions are not mere decorative details; they highlight the interdependence of human well-being and ecological health. Plants become living companions in a shared ecological community.

As Garrard points out, ecocriticism examines how literature redefines relationships between humans and nature (5). Kalidasa's depiction of the forest exemplifies this redefinition. Human identity is shaped through continuous interaction with the environment.

Seasonal changes further strengthen ecological interconnectedness. Emotional experiences and narrative events unfold alongside transformations in nature. Spring landscapes bring intimacy and renewal, while moments of uncertainty often align with changes in the environment. Rivers symbolize continuity, nourishment, and renewal. Together, animals, plants, rivers, and seasons create an ecological framework where human and environmental well-being are linked.

Ecological Ethics and Ascetic Consciousness

The ecological perspective in the play relates closely to ascetic consciousness. Ascetic life in the hermitage involves more than spiritual practice; it reflects a distinctive environmental ethic based on moderation, self-restraint, and respect for all life.

The ascetics do not view the forest as a resource to exploit. They see themselves as part of a larger ecological community. This mindset aligns with Val Plumwood's critique of human-centered thinking and her call for ecological relationships based on mutual respect rather than human dominance (41). Importantly, ascetic restraint does not mean withdrawing from environmental engagement. The hermitage's inhabitants actively relate to their surroundings through protection, care, and stewardship. They express ecological values through daily actions rather than abstract ideas. The play suggests that meaningful coexistence relies on responsibility and restraint, not domination. This ethical approach aligns with broader themes in Indian ecological thought. Human fulfillment comes from living in harmony with nature, not from conquering it. Kalidasa presents an ecological order that thrives on balance and reciprocity, not on accumulation and control.

Environmental Consciousness and Contemporary Relevance

Although *Abhijnanashakuntalam* belongs to a classical literary tradition, its ecological insights resonate today. The play is not a modern environmental manifesto, but it offers a strong view of environmental responsibility rooted in ethical relationships.

The contrast between the forest hermitage and the royal court is especially significant. The hermitage centers on coexistence, interdependence, and care, while the court reflects hierarchy, authority, and social separation. The shift from forest to court shows how ecological balance becomes fragile when human life is disconnected from relationships of reciprocity and environmental responsibility.

The values shown in the hermitage—restraint, mutual care, and respect for non-human life—stand in stark contrast to modern patterns of environmental exploitation driven by excessive consumption and resource extraction. The play does not provide direct solutions but encourages reflection on alternative models of coexistence.

Ultimately, *Abhijnanashakuntalam* presents a vision of relational existence. It underscores that human well-being cannot be separated from ecological well-being. The forest hermitage serves not just as a literary setting but also as an ethical model rooted in harmony, responsibility, and coexistence. Through this eco-centric viewpoint, Kalidasa reflects on the interconnectedness of life, a reflection that remains relevant in today's environmental discussions.

Conclusion

This study explored *Abhijnanashakuntalam* through an ecocritical lens, focusing on how human life unfolds in close connection with the natural world. What becomes clear through this reading is that nature in the play is not simply a backdrop. It is present, active, and quietly shaping the rhythm of human experience. The forest hermitage holds a central place in this vision. It works as a lived ecological space where restraint, care, and coexistence are not abstract ideas but everyday practice. Human beings here do not stand outside nature; they exist within it, sharing space with animals, plants, rivers, and seasonal cycles.

A closer look at the text also shows how closely identity and environment are tied together. Shakuntala's life in the hermitage, her ease with non-human life, and even her emotional world reflect this deep interdependence. When she moves away from the forest, the shift is not only geographical but also experiential, almost like a change in her entire way of being. Kalidasa's narrative gently unsettles a human-centred way of thinking. Instead of placing humans at the centre, the play allows nature to remain present in decision-making, emotion, and ethical awareness. This relationship is not explained in theory but felt through scenes, actions, and everyday interactions. At its core, the play suggests a way of living where boundaries between human and non-human life remain flexible and connected. The contrast between the forest hermitage and the royal world further sharpens this idea, showing two different attitudes toward nature—one based on balance and mutual respect, the other on distance and control.

Taken together, these insights suggest that *Abhijnanashakuntalam* carries an ecological sensitivity that still feels relevant today. It does not offer solutions in a modern environmental sense, but it does encourage a slower, more attentive way of seeing relationships between humans and the natural world. In this sense, the play continues to speak beyond its historical setting. It reminds us, in a quiet but powerful way, that human life is never separate from the world that surrounds it.

Works Cited

- Buell, Lawrence. *The Environmental Imagination: Thoreau, Nature Writing, and the Formation of American Culture*. Harvard University Press, 1995.
- Garrard, Greg. *Ecocriticism*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2012.
- Glotfelty, Cheryll, and Harold Fromm, editors. *The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology*. University of Georgia Press, 1996.
- Heise, Ursula K. *Sense of Place and Sense of Planet: The Environmental Imagination of the Global*. Oxford University Press, 2008.
- Iovino, Serenella, and Serpil Oppermann, editors. *Material Ecocriticism*. Indiana University Press, 2014.
- Keith, Arthur Berriedale. *The Sanskrit Drama*. Oxford University Press, 1924.
- Plumwood, Val. *Feminism and the Mastery of Nature*. Routledge, 1993.
- Shiva, Vandana. *Staying Alive: Women, Ecology and Development*. Zed Books, 1988.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The views, findings, and opinions expressed in this publication are solely those of the author(s). The publisher and editorial team are not responsible for any errors, claims, or consequences arising from the use of the published content.